ORIGINAL

WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER LLP



SUITE 700

WASHINGTON, DC 20037

TEL 202.783.4141 FAX 202.783.5851

www.wbklaw.com

ROBERT G. MORSE (202) 383-3393

rmorse@wbklaw.com

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED RECEIVED

NOV 1 4 2002

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY Marlene H. Dortch, Secrelary

Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

> International Settlements Policy Reform, IB Docket No. 02-324, Rc:

> > International Settlement Rates, IB Docket No. 96-261

Vodafone Americas, Inc.

Notice of Oral Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Ms. Dortch:

November 14, 2002

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, Vodafone Americas, Inc. ("VAI"), by counsel, hereby notifies the Commission that on November 13, 2002, Richard Feascy, Public Policy Director, Vodafone Group Services Limited, Charles D. Cosson, Vice President, Public Policy, VAI, and Robert Morse, counsel for VAI, met with the following individuals to discuss issues raised in the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* in the abovereferenced proceeding: Patricia Cooper, Susan O'Connell, Jackie Ruff, Mark Uretsky and Irene Wu of the International Bureau; and Stacy Jordan, Heidi Kroll, Joseph Levin, Walt Strack, and Gregory Vadas of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

Participants discussed some of the issues VAI intends to address in its comments on the NPRM relating to foreign mobile termination rates, as outlined in the materials provided to Commission staff attending the meeting (copy attached). VAI will address all of these matters in more detail in its formal comments.

¹ International Settlements Policy Reform, IB Docket No. 02-324, International Settlement Rates, IB Docket No. 96-261, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 02-285 (rel. October 11, 2002) ("NPRM")

WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER LLP

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary November 14, 2002 Page 2

An original and four copies of this letter and the attachment are enclosed. Please contact the undersigned or Charles Cosson at (925) 210-3812 if there are questions concerning this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert G. Morse

Attachment

cc: (all via email)

Lisa Choi

Patricia Cooper Gardner Foster

Stacy Jordan

Heidi Kroll

Joseph Levin

Susan O'Connell

Jackie Ruff

Walt Strack

Mark Uretsky

Gregory Vadas

lrenc Wu





NPRM preview

- domestic incentives abroad align with international
- no discrimination (ex. Japan)
- Receiving Party Pays vs Calling Party Pays
- retail mark ups





Key issues

- incentive structures
- allocative efficiency
- comparative data
- remedies
- impact











Incentives

- outbound competition drives calls and subscription prices to (or below) cost
- no firm can 'forbear from competing' for termination revenue
 - revenues passed through to outbound prices
 - not an issue of dominance
- Fixed To Mobile prices could become allocatively inefficient
 - does not mean current prices are
 - a problem about structure not profits or abuse





Incentives

- major difference between FTM and Mobile To **Mobile negotiations**
 - MTM conducted between two parties in same market, each setting others' costs
 - opportunity to deregulate MTM





Allocative efficiency

- cost modelling very underdeveloped with no consensus or stability (<9c to >25c)
 - took 5 years in fixed and will take the same in mobile
- Oftel's model (which is more advanced than most) still has major errors/omissions
 - e.g. substantially understates assets
- still disagreement on fundamental conceptual issues
 - magnitude of common costs
 - non-network
 - 'minimum coverage'
 - mark up of common costs
 - measurement
 - distributional issues
 - consequential outbound prices











Allocative efficiency

- network externalities
 - call externality disregard option etc
 - debate on Rohlfs-Griffin factor
 - deriving broadly similar results (OFTEL allowed 2ppm)
 - issues then concern:
 - effectiveness of competition/waterbed
 - targeting









npar issues

 RPP prices do not tell us what efficient prices would be under CPP

• illus >n:

	СРР	Standard RPP
Subs	\$100	\$220
Outbound mobile	15c	3c
FTM	22c	8c (mobile) + 8c (fixed)

•key sensitivities are (a) valuation of receivinglmaking calls (b) cross price of subslinbound charges





Impact

- principally demand side in markets which barely cover cost of capital at industry level
- unprecedented increases in subscription charges
- shrinkage in market
 - penetration in Europe stalled at current prices
- no distributional issues that justify departure from allocative efficient price structures
 - mobile only vs fixed only weighting (4/25m)



